(3)

CF 15/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR

.....

W.P.NO. 304 /97

The State of M.P Through the

PETITIONERS : 1. Dy.Director, Sericulture, Regional Tassar Field Research Centre, Jagdalpur, Distt.Bastar.

2. Asstt.Director, Sericulture, Regional Tassar Field Research Centre, Jagdalpur, Distt.Bastar.

3. Field Officer, Releing Factory, Sericulture Centre, Bademar Ganga, Post-Telima Rega. Distt.Bastar. Jagdalpur.

- VERSUS -

RESPONDENTS : 1. Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Raipur.

2. Sagnu S/o Laghnu.
3. Mangal S/o Khuti.
4. Umashankar S/o Salik.
5. Neela S/o Ladu.
6. Budai S/o Nandu.
7. Chheta S/o Laxman.
8. Chhebo S/o Khuti.
9. Sukmati S/o Budumen.
10. Chameli /o Ramsingh
11. Neela /o Mangal
12. Chamareen /o Balveer.
13. Budhari / o Sada.
14. Chandradai /o Somayar.
15. Sumni /o Ayatu.
16. Jamvati /O Goverdhan.
17. Sadni /o Bangalu.

..2

(4)

: 18. Sumni /o Subas.
19. Budhri /o Ramdas.
20. Aasmati /o Bangalu.
21. Budhari /o Laxman.

All through Bastar Kosa Mazdoor Sangh, Mazdoor Bhawan, D.S. Colony, Kirandum, Distt.Bastar.

PETITIONER UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(62)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 304 of 1997

Petitioners	The State of M.P. (now State of C.G.) through the Dy. Director, Sericulture, Regional Tassar Field Research Centre, Jagdalpur and others
Versus	
Respondents	Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Raipur and another

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri A. K. Pandey, Advocate for the respondents No. 2 to 21.

ORAL ORDER

(6th July, 2006)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. This petition challenges the validity of the interim orders dated 25.3.1996 and 17.6.1996 passed by the Labour Court, Raipur in Case No. 82/93 I.D. Act Reference whereby the petitioners were directed to reinstate the workers/respondents and make payment in accordance with law, as interim measure.

2. This Court by order dated 27.1.1997 stayed the impugned orders dated 25.3.1996 and 17.6.1996.

3. It is informed at the Bar and admitted by learned counsel for both the parties that the pending matter in the Labour Court has already been disposed of.

4. In view of the final disposal of the matter, pending before the Labour Court, this petition has become infructuous.

5. The petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge